

FIRST BOAT

Guest blog by [Vincent Pica](#)
Chief of Staff, First District, Southern Region (D1SR)
United States Coast Guard Auxiliary



Photo: [istockphoto.com/perkins07](https://www.istockphoto.com/perkins07)

Remember Earth Day? Think way back to two and a half weeks ago. It was the day your kid came home from school and told you to turn off the lights, recycle the cans, and asked if you could help them plant a tree. If you are Christian, you may have missed it – Earth Day shared the date with Good Friday this year.

Earth Day has come and gone, but keeping our waters clean goes beyond just one day, and involves more recycling plastic bottles. In this week's column, Vin Pica talks about the part of your boat that you rarely see or think about once the season starts. But as you're readying your boat for its first launch this spring and thinking about bottom paint, this could be the most important article you read today.

Cleanliness is Next to Godliness

Way back in January 2007, we wrote about this topic with respect largely to the things we drop in the water (see Atlantic Maritime, [Cleanliness is Next to Godliness](#), January 24, 2007.) But what about the things we bring out there, like our copper-oxide bottom paint? This column is about that.

Painting With Poison

Yes, if you really think about it, we paint the bottoms of our boats with poison. Intentionally. We're trying to kill barnacles, algae, slime and other stowaways who can clog our intake valves,

foul our running gear and, as a consequence, actually create another bio-hazard as we have to apply more power (meaning burning more fuel and creating more exhaust) to move the boat at a given speed. So, our intentions are not necessarily ignoble – but if we start to address some of the collateral damage, we can make them noble.

The history of the War of the Barnacles goes back to the Phoenicians. They used many substances – including lead and tar – to battle the speed-killing and weight-adding stowaways. It wasn't until the Romans realized that shields of battle work at sea too – and shielded their ships with copper sheathing – that something effective began to turn the battle in favor of the mariners. This technique lasted for millennia. History tells us that Nelson had an inherent 20% speed advantage over the Spaniards at the Battle of Trafalgar because of copper sheathing.

A lot of dangerous things don't reach the tipping point until there is a lot of something acting on the environment. By the 1950s, boating had begun to be popular enough that scientists started to notice that shellfish were being affected by these bottom paints. This started the process that a half-century later is showing up in various alternatives.

Two Pounds a Year

A 30' boat, painted with copper-oxide anti-fouling paint, leaches 2 pounds of copper into the waterways. Now, before you dry-dock your boat, scientists note that Nature naturally leaches 250,000 tons of copper into the sea each year – compared to the ~15,000 tons that all the sea-going vessels add. But the ocean is one thing – a marina with 100 vessels closely packed is another. And that is the rub, sort to speak.

States and municipalities are starting to notice and taking action in two ways – restricting boat owners from using certain bottom paint mixtures and keeping marina owners from draining their waste water into the sea. Connecticut banned marina owners from doing so last year, requiring them to collect the water and bring it to a treatment plant. Sounds expensive, which just ends up in dockage fees or, worse, fees so high that boaters start to drop out... Eventually, the Feds will bring a suit under the Clean Water Act and then the game is afoot.

But the regulators aren't just throwing (your) money at the problem. They are sponsoring “bake-offs” where boat owners and paint companies can try different formulas to address the issue. San Diego both passed a law that requires the amount of copper pollution in the Port of San Diego to be reduced by 75% in 15 years – and has created test beds for various formulas. And they have found that not only are different chemicals effective (such as zinc) but also paints can be made more “slick” so those stowaways can grab a toe-hold (or whatever they hold on with!)

The paint companies haven't sat on their hands and have developed a number of alternatives – but getting approval from the EPA to add a chemical to the equation takes considerable time too. Will the solutions cost more? They already do and they will continue too. Not sure there is any way around that one...

A Primer of Sorts

There is a lot of material out there and you can always discuss it with your dock master, who is certainly interested in the health of our waterways. The largest anti-fouling paint company,

InterLux, maintains a lot of material online (www.yachtpaint.com.)

For the more scientifically inclined, the stowaways are not attaching to our boats for a ride. They attach to eat. When you put anything in water, tiny electrical charges develop. This was discovered by Johannes van der Waals 1873 (getting the Nobel Prize in 1910.) Via the “van der Waals” force, free-floating objects are attracted to the surface of that object. In waterways, these objects are decaying matter – a very attractive food source to our stowaways. The table is set. All it needs is hungry guests, which Mother Nature serves up readily.

BTW, if you are interested in being part of USCG Forces, email me at JoinUSCGAux@aol.com or go direct to the D1SR Human Resources department, who are in charge of new members matters, at [DSO-HR](#) and we will help you “get in this thing...”